

# The Emergence of Cluster B Personality Disorders in Childhood and Adolescence

SAM VAKNIN



# **The Emergence of Cluster B Personality Disorders in Childhood and Adolescence**

**Sam Vaknin**

Prof. of Psychology in CIAPS (Commonwealth Institute of Advanced Professional Studies)  
Cambridge, United Kingdom

Editing and Design:  
**Lidija Rangelovska**

**Vaknin-Rangelovska Foundation, Skopje 2025**

## Warning and Disclaimer

The contents of this book are not meant to substitute for professional help and counselling. The readers are discouraged from using it for diagnostic or therapeutic ends. The diagnosis and treatment of Narcissistic Personality Disorder can only be done by professionals specifically trained and qualified to do so – which the author is not. The author is NOT a mental health professional.

© 2025 Copyright Lidija Rangelovska

All rights reserved. This book, or any part thereof, may not be used or reproduced in any manner without written permission from:

Lidija Rangelovska – write to: [contact@vrfoundation.net](mailto:contact@vrfoundation.net)

**Vaknin-Rangelovska Foundation** <https://vrfoundation.net>

Visit the Author's Web site <http://www.narcissistic-abuse.com>

Facebook <http://www.facebook.com/samvaknin>

YouTube channel <http://www.youtube.com/samvaknin>

Buy other books and video lectures about pathological narcissism and relationships with abusive narcissists and psychopaths here:

<http://www.narcissistic-abuse.com/thebook.html>

## **Agenda for Reframing Cluster B Personality Disorders as Disrupted Separation-Individuation and Dissociative Post-traumatic Syndromes**

The field of personality disorders is at an impasse, reflected in the competing diagnostic models in the DSM 5-TR (the categorical lists of diagnostic criteria imported verbatim from the DSM-IV-TR vs. the dimensional, descriptive alternative models, relegated to the appendices).

We need to reconceive of cluster B personality disorders as post-traumatic dissociative conditions involving self-states (subpersonalities with pseudoidentities). This seems to be the most clinically rigorous way to rid ourselves of excessive comorbidities and polythetic diagnoses.

Recasting cluster B personality disorders as post-traumatic conditions which involve dissociation goes a long way towards resolving these outstanding conundrums.

### **Self-states - not a Unitary Self: People are Rivers, Not Lakes or Ponds**

To start with, the counterfactual foundations of contemporary psychology – constellated or integrated self, personality, individual - should be replaced with a model of fluid self-states, seamlessly segueing into each other, reactive to environmental cues (see the section on IPAM).

**Man is a river, not a lake or a stagnant pond.**

### **A Standard Model of Cluster B Personality Disorders**

I propose a standard model of cluster B personality disorders, akin to the standard model of forces and particles in physics.

My new suggested diagnosis of [covert borderline](#) (and the earlier suggested diagnosis of [inverted narcissist](#)) are examples of the kind of clinical entities that emerge from the back and forth **transitions between overt and covert cluster B states mediated via and triggered by the processes of collapse and narcissistic mortification.**

This proposed **standard model of personality disorders** unifies all cluster B (“dramatic” or “erratic”) personality disorders into a single clinical entity with varying emphases and overlays. Like every theoretical model, it yields predictions regarding new diagnoses.

### **Self-systems: Historical Antecedents**

Conceptualizing the mind as an assemblage of ego states or self-states is nothing new. It harks back to work by Philip M. Bromberg, to Jung's complexes, to the model of subpersonalities, to the Internal Family Systems Model (IFS), and to Ego-state therapy.

Let us start our review with the most basic unit of self-states: the binary system.

### **Binary Systems**

Case studies of clients with comorbid overt narcissism and covert narcissism gave rise to the concept of **self-supply**.

A collapsed narcissist may evolve a **binary system** of two residual self-states: an overt narcissist and a covert one, both equally inept at securing narcissistic supply from outside sources.

Such a constellation is geared at generating self-supply in two ways: the overt self-state's superiority to and rejection of the covert self-state and the covert's fantasies of overt grandiosity and the narcissistic supply that it garners.

The overt's aggression towards the covert is recycled by the covert into a depressive state (self-directed aggression) and incorporated into sadistic fantasies.

The overt and the covert collude in creating a sublimatory channel for the pent-up rage, envy, and resentment that the collapsed narcissist is experiencing.

When aggression is channelled via grandiosity, it can resolve into one or more of these speech acts:

Judgmental-contemptuous (I am superior, unequalled)

Victorious (I am unique, for better or for worse)

Merciful-empathic (I pity people, have compassion, I act charitably but ostentatiously)

Educational (I am a guru who elevates others to my level).

### **Self-states: The Operating System**

The concept of the unitary self is being replaced in my work with the idea that an internal operating system determines which of several self-states emerges, given internally and externally (environmentally) generated information.

Self-efficacy is the overriding constraint which the system seeks to optimize when hailing forth these sub-personalities or pseudoidentities.

When all relevant or available self-states at the disposal of the system are equally self-efficacious, the system may opt to keep two or more of them in operation (I call it a “state of residuals”, a transitory binary system). This ineluctably leads to dissonance and internalized aggression.

Patients with Cluster B personality disorders experience no time (timeless), memory, continuity, self, or core identity. They are mere simulacra and spectacles.

Consider narcissists, for example.

Most narcissists exhibit both overt (grandiose-entitled) and vulnerable traits. In my work, I suggest that cluster B patients transition between overt, collapsed, and covert states of their personality disorders when they are mortified.

But, how is it possible? After all, the traits of a covert are diametrically opposed to those of an overt!

Even in healthy, normal folks, traits are not constant over the lifespan and under conditions of extreme endogenous or exogenous stress or trauma.

Such volatility and lability are especially true when the person suffers from identity diffusion or disturbance.

Each self-state is a narrative which is allied with a pseudo-identity.

Pseudoidentities are ego functions (resources) and simulations (probes).

In the absence of a unitary, stable core (identity disturbance and identity diffusion), the person shape-shifts between self-states, replete with their own unique traits, affect, cognitions, and behaviors.

In extremis, these self-states are utterly dissociated (e.g., in most forms of DID, or Dissociative Identity Disorder).

### **Psychopathy as a Protector Self-state**

Psychopathy as self-state is a protective ego resource in DID (Dissociative Identity Disorder), BPD (Borderline Personality Disorder where it manifests as secondary, factor 2 or F2 psychopathy), NPD (Narcissistic Personality Disorder), HPD (Histrionic Personality Disorder), and PPD (Paranoid Personality Disorder).

Decompensation occurs owing to intolerable anticipated or actual stress or trauma (CPTSD/PTSD): grandiose and fantasy defenses crumble and lead to acting out or to suicide.

It is then that a psychopathic protective self-state emerges.

In the case of NPD, the psychopathic protective self-state shields the precariously balanced and disorganized personality from narcissistic injury or narcissistic mortification (it causes hypervigilance).

Its emergence results in contact with trauma traces (repressed memories and their attendant emotions), thus transforming NPD into BPD (Grotstein: the BPD patient is a failed narcissist).

While emotional dysregulation and suicidal ideation in BPD are common, they are less threatening than the disintegration brought on by shame and humiliation in narcissistic mortification.

In NPD the psychopathic protective self-state also counters object inconstancy which typically yields abandonment anxiety (separation insecurity).

In the case of PPD the psychopathic protective self-state protects from perceived or anticipated threats as well as from catastrophizing, paranoid ideation and persecutory delusions.

In the case of BPD and, more generally, Borderline Personality Organization, the psychopathic protective self-state fends off the twin anxieties: abandonment anxiety (separation insecurity coupled with rejection sensitivity).

In BPD, the protective self-state also compensates for introject inconstancy (the inability to maintain stable inner representations of external objects).

In the case of HPD, the protection is from both rejection and injury.

When the protective self is overactive or is the only self-state/resource, we get hybrids types (comorbidity) like the malignant narcissist (Fromm, Herbert Rosenfeld, Jeffrey Seinfeld, Otto, Kernberg).

## **Structural Dissociation**

**Structural Dissociation** forms a part of my newly developed treatment modality, Cold Therapy together with other approaches to trauma and retraumatization. It is at the heart of the post-traumatic condition currently known as “personality disorders”.

**Dissociation** is integrative deficit, not a defense (the child has few active defenses, actually). Its symptoms are both psychoform and somatoform.

**Integration and adaptive behavior** depend on synthesis (association of all components of experiences and functions into meaningful and coherent mental structures both episodically and across time).

They also depend on realization: analysis and assimilation of information via personification and presentification, bringing past and future to bear on the present, a kind of mindfulness and reflexivity.

**Depersonalization** is a failure at personification (semantic not episodic memory).

## **Trauma and the Integrated Self**

**Trauma reduces integrative capacity.** In premorbid personalities with low integrative capacity, it may lead to dissociation.

**Action systems** (inborn, self-organizing, self-stabilizing, and homeostatic emotional operating systems):



1. Guide daily living and survival of the species;
2. Afford physical defense under threat (currently known as the 4 Fs), social defense against abandonment and rejection (which goes haywire in BPD), and interoreceptive defense against mental content (akin to defense mechanisms, either primitive like splitting or sophisticated like passive-aggression).

Charles Samuel Myers studied acutely traumatized war veterans in the 1940s.

He proposed a model which comprises AS1 (Action System 1) linked to ANP (Apparently Normal Parts) and AS2 linked to EP (Emotional Parts of the personality). Myers called these “personalities”, but today we call them “parts”.

EP contain vivid trauma recall (revividness or flashbacks) and vehement negative emotionality and affectivity (fear, horror, helplessness, anger, guilt, shame – or being listless, non-responsive, and submissive – or dissociative states of being derealized and depersonalized). They are linked to body dysmorphia and a separate sense of self.

ANP repress traumatic memories and avoid triggers via amnesia, sensory anesthesia, restricted emotions, numbness, and depersonalization.

ANP conditioned to fear EP and react to any EP intrusion with altering or lowering consciousness, substance abuse, addictions, compulsions, self-mutilation (to silence the inner voices of EP), phobias or mental action.

The dissociative parts affect attachment and intimacy and lead to attachment loss. ANP are intent on vouchsafing a normal life and fostering growth via change.

They frequently operate via evaluative conditioning (associating neutral stimuli with negative or positive outcomes and feelings owing to prior association with negative or positive stimuli), diversion, or estrangement.

Individual can have one of each ANP and EP (Primary Structural Dissociation), one ANP with two or more EP (Secondary SD), or multiple ANP and EP (Tertiary SD).

Both ANP and EP maintain a rudimentary sense of self (“I”) and exclusive access to some memories (aka core identity)

**Dissociative parts** vary in the degree of their intrusion and avoidance of trauma-related cues, affect regulation, psychological defenses, capacity for insight, response to stimuli, body movements, behaviors, cognitive schemas, attention, attachment styles, sense of self, self-destructiveness, promiscuity, suicidality, flexibility and adaptability in daily life, structural division, autonomy, number, subjective experience, overt manifestations.

The dissociative symptoms could either negative (amnesia, numbness, impaired thinking, loss of skills, needs, wishes, fantasies, loss of motor functions or skills, loss of sensation) or positive when mental content or functions of one part introduce on another part's (psychotic/schizophrenia: voices, nonvolitional behaviors, tics, pains; psychoform or somatoform conversion symptoms).

### **Disrupted Self-formation in Infancy: Pre-self Premorbidity**

In cluster B personality disorders, the biological mother is a source of frustration, hurt, shame, and rage, often unconsciously.

Consider, for example, the emergence of Narcissistic Personality Disorder (NPD): the “dead” mother mortifies the nascent narcissist by shaming the child. The transition from the symbiotic (enmeshment) phase to **separation-individuation** (ages 18-36 months of life) is thus aborted (according to Margret Mahler's theory of separation-individuation).

This prevents the full constellation of the self and of an integrated ego.

The failure in the formation of the Self/Ego via separation-individuation in early childhood results in a cascade of deficiencies in later life. The infant is unable to transition from preverbal nonconceptual mental content (unthought known, unconscious) to the conceptual, linguistic phase.

The child fails to recognize the externality of objects and to generate theories of mind (mentalize) as well as theories about relationships (IWM - Internal Working Model), and about reality. S/he is stuck in apprehensive knowing (non-verbal) vs. comprehensive knowing (concepts borrowed from systems therapy).

Moreover: the nonemergence of a continuous and cohesive core identity generates a nonidentity problem in the dissociative, nonconceptual non-individual: an ability to conceive and imagine future selves and act to safeguard and enhance their welfare and wellbeing.

**Internal objects** are voices or constellations and coalitions of introjected voices. Only the **good object** in healthy people is grounded in reality (it is not split).

Narcissists introject a **bad or an idealized object**, both of which are split and fantasy-based. The bad object informs the covert narcissist that s/he is unworthy, unlovable, inadequate, and deficient in some way. The idealized object broadcast the opposite message: "You can do no wrong, you are perfection reified."

**Individuation** is not about becoming an adult, but about becoming an individual with boundaries, self, and ego (one of whose functions is reality testing).

Such a mother is an illegitimate target of **aggression**, so the child redirects it at mother substitutes. It attempts to accomplish separation by proxy (via his/her intimate partners in a shared fantasy) and thus become (individuate).

The child reframes the frustrating and withholding primary object (mother or caregiver) by **splitting** her: she is either all good (martyr) or all bad (evil). Correspondingly, he is either all bad (grandiose monster) or all good (grandiose victim).

Typically, the child will adopt a bad object: consider itself unworthy, inadequate, evil, ugly, stupid, and so on. The child will assume responsibility for the abuse and trauma it is experiencing.

**The child becomes by being mirrored through the mother's gaze.**

A gaze is not physical fact: it is a caregiving attitude.

The infant has no conception of a self, of the other, and of the world (no distinction between external and internal). It is, therefore, initially unable to identify itself in the mother's gaze (symbiotic phase). When it finally does recognize itself in her gaze, the child experiences a trauma, the schism of the world, and the emergence of the other.

Initially, the child rejects the mother's traumatizing gaze. He pushes her away, thus realizing her externality. Ironically, it is precisely this rejection that leads to differentiation, the first instance of proto-separation (Lacan's apperception or self-objectification or ambivalent self-alienation).

Lacan suggested that the unconscious - the seat of repressed traumas - is a compendium of other people's gazes. Thus, the mother's gaze is the cause of the formation of the unconscious, its nucleus is this primal trauma of being seen.

Why does the unconscious emerge? To resolve the existential dissonance between the survival need to be seen - and the trauma of being seen. The latter has to be repressed in the interest of survival.

Now, the child is ready to objectify and instrumentalise the mother as its first mirror. This gives rise to primary narcissism. The mother actively reflects the child to itself, idealized and aggrandized ("hall of mirrors effect").

This affords the child the grandiose energy to take on the world and cathect it. The mother's proactive benevolent gaze is synonymous with her secure base and gives rise to healthy attachment.

The mother's gaze engenders mentalizing and object relations founded on the separateness of external objects subject to secure attachment ("safe bases").

But when the mother is "dead", so is her gaze. The child sees only her (the mirror) and the world, not himself. He fails to develop a concept of the external, operative object relations, as well as a constellated self and an ego.

Such a child is incapable of mentalizing (attributing states of mind to others). He remains stuck in narcissism. He offers to his partners the hall of mirrors effect but without mentalizing and object relations, it does not progress beyond grandiose cathexis and causes regressive infantile retraumatization.

At the same time, the partner is converted into a dead, frustrating, withholding, betraying mother who mirrors herself and the world rather than the narcissist - thus undermining the shared fantasy having become an internal persecutory object.

This is reminiscent of Hegel's **negation of the negation**: child, negated child, negated negated (become) child.

## **The Other and Othering**

The Subject (the newborn) is initially a *ding an sich* (preverbal and unknowable). It is immersed in an oceanic feeling that is not the outcome of schizoid empty core or kenosis (empty nothingness) but of Anatman. It includes a lack of an essence (no

introspection, just proprioception; impermanence (growth, development); and interdependence on individuals and things (symbiosis with mother-world).

The newborn's innate universal grammar (Chomsky) is the bridge to and the engine of object relations because all language is relational and object-oriented.

Then subject emerges as object to itself and to others. This emergence is mediated via language ("I").

Language allows the infant to conceptualize the mother's gaze and to other her irrevocably.

The first othering is a major terrifying trauma: the birth of the external and its schism from the internal.

The birth of the object is therefore grounded in frustration and trauma over perceived rejection, aggressive counter-rejection, and reconciliation via recognition of externality and separateness.

But Mother is still perceived as perfect and she perceives the child as perfect. It is easy to love a perfect object, it is a suspect kind of love. So, the child renders both the mother and itself imperfect (splitting) in order to test her love, to make sure that it is unconditional and fully accepting indeed.

Separation-individuation is a reenactment of this rupture. It is a transformation from object to subject.

But there is always residual self-objectification (for example, in splitting or moral defense). "Moral defense" was elaborated on by Fairbairn based on previous work by Winnicott and Strachey ("primitive superego").

The relationship between True Self and reality is like the relationship between symbolic objects and real ones. The self, therefore, is a part of Lacan's Symbolic Order: a conscious linguistic element + the unconscious which is the introjected discourse of the Other.

The Other triggers and then actualizes potentials interactively (emotions, affects, cognitions, behaviors, unconscious primary processes).

The Self triggers and actualizes the same potentials similarly.

Self and Other have same epistemological (functional) status.

The Self (or the assemblage of self-states) is Othered, it is the Primal Other. It is the outcome of the Othering of the mother (separation-individuation or Primal Othering).

Proof that the Self is Othered we see in DID and other dissociative self-states (NPD, BPD). Identity diffusion or disturbance are forms of Othering.

The Primal Othering is traumatic: it involves a breakdown of the unitary world when the infant perceives its separate existence through the mother's gaze.

It internalizes this gaze (the internal eye).

Introspection and self-reference depend on such Othering of the Self.

Regulation, agency, self-efficacy depend on introspection.

Similarly, Othering objects (aka object relations) is modelled on the Primal Othering of the Self. It is rendered impossible in its absence.

When formation of an integrated/constellated Self is disrupted, the result is an empty schizoid core, devoid of any Other. It leads to an inability to Other people (i.e., to relate to them as external, separate objects) as well as to an incapacity to actualize intensional emotions, affects, cognitions, and behaviors.

The defense of Fantasy is an attempt to compensate for these deficiencies by Othering constructs (such as the False Self) or internal objects. The failure of such Othering (aka incorporation) leads to narcissism.

Devaluation is alterity determined, according to Spivak, by the process of Othering.

## **The Other and Empathy**

In the absence of external, separate others, empathy is precluded.

**Empathy** is a self-contained internal set of processes, triggered by the presence and self-reporting of another person.

Empathy involves two confabulated self-deceptions:

1. That the internal experience of empathy is actually external (has to do with the other person). This confusion between internal and external objects is called “psychosis”; and
2. That the experience of empathy is altruistic and focused on the other person when in reality it is solipsistic and revolves exclusively around self-centred emotional regulation and cognitive processing.

Thus, empathy has all the hallmarks of both healthy and pathological narcissism.

Empathy is the most famous form of other-directed mentalizing. Other proposed variants haven't fared as well.

**“Automaticity”** is probably the wrong model for human behavior – but so is **rationality**. Even bounded rationality is an optimistic approach.

**Fonagy's teleological non-mentalizing** fits insects as well as humans. It is tautological. The existence of a goal implies perforce the existence of intentionality (Brentano) and vice versa.

What we cannot be sure of is the **existence of GOALS!** This is why teleology is a bogeyman in science.

### **The Formation and Expression of Self-states**

**The infant** (ages 0 to 3) does not verbally formulate "thoughts" or goals regarding his pressing needs (which are part cognitive, part instinctual). This nagging uncertainty is more akin to a discomfort, like being thirsty or wet (states of being). These are transformed into permanent self-states only if the needs are not met.

Even in classical theories, from Jung to Fairbairn, the **Self is constellated and integrated** via satisfactory object relations. When object relations are frustrating or hurtful, the self remains fragmented into states, each state corresponding to an unfulfilled, unmet need.

Each state has its own set of coping strategies, cognitions, and emotions (affects) which revolve around resolving the lack. Each state is invested with aggression.

The **self-states** are dormant and reactive to stressors. During hibernation, they are perceived as internal objects.

The **cluster B personality disorders** (narcissistic, borderline, and antisocial-psychopathy) may be mere kaleidoscopic facets of an underlying dissociative process, amounting, in extreme cases, to full-fledged DID (Dissociative Identity Disorder, formerly known as Multiple Personality Disorder) or maybe OSDD (Other Specified Dissociative Disorder). In other words: these personality types may be conceived as self-states, "alters" of each other.

Having endured **narcissistic injury or mortification**, a trauma, or severe anxiety and stress, these patients decompensate and act out along predictable pathways: the borderline becomes a vicious secondary psychopath, the primary psychopath morphs into a rabid grandiose narcissist, and the quavering narcissist shape-shifts into a codependent clinging borderline. These phase transitions are startling to behold and throw off even the most experienced clinician.

A lot of this has to do with the fact that cluster B disordered personalities find it nearly impossible to access, process, or regulate both emotions and cognitions.

These gaping deficits interfere with the meanings that these patients attribute to the events in their lives and to people around them.

The psychopath sees no meaning whatsoever in anything or anyone. The borderline regards herself as meaningless and everyone and everything else as mission critical to her personal autonomy and self-efficacious agency. The narcissist regards only himself as totally meaningful, draining all the rest of any significance.

When under radical pressure, these actors attempt to reframe the situation in a less injurious manner by reallocating and relocating the foci of meaning, thus seamlessly and smoothly transitioning between these extended and extensive role plays that we call "personality disorders".

### **The Fantasy Defense and Shared Fantasy**

Narcissistic elation – the oceanic feeling of symbiotic merger with the mother - is the regressive driving force behind the narcissist's shared fantasy.

Narcissistic elation is undermined by mother as a frustrating object and is the precursor of separation-individuation in healthy adults.



Fantasy is not only a counterfactual narrative or coercion. It is a regulatory mechanism, a form of self-supply, a defense against fragility and vulnerability, a time machine (regression to symbiosis and womb), a fake good object, a cognitive distortion, a pseudo-emotion (euphoria, not elation and dysphoria, not depletion).

Both narcissists and borderlines alternate between fantasy and reality - but their fantasies are very different. The borderline's is object (person)-centred, the narcissist's is process (narrative)-centred.

Moreover: the fantasies cater to the narcissist's and borderline's deepest psychological needs.

### **7 Stages of shared fantasy:**

1. Having spotted and auditioned a potential partner or participant, Co-Idealization through lovebombing: the introjected partner is idealized and the narcissist is all good because he is the owner of an ideal object;
2. Dual motherhood in a shared fantasy: a recreation of early childhood by converting both partners into maternal figures, unconditionally accepting and loving. The partner regresses and, as an infant, falls in love with her own idealized image via the narcissist's gaze ("hall of mirrors" effect);
3. The need to reenact the failed separation in the narcissist's childhood leads to a mental discard which results in a narcissistic injury as it implies that the narcissist is not omniscient because his judgment of his erstwhile partner as ideal was wrong;
4. Devaluation of the external object in order to restore the narcissist's grandiosity (make an ego-congruent sense of the discard of an hitherto idealized object);
5. Devaluation of the partner's introject via the splitting defense (introject is now all-bad, the narcissist is grandiosely all-good);
6. Real life discard: projection of introject onto the partner in an attempt to integrate it with the external object. This attempt at projection-integration fails owing to abandonment anxiety triggered by the partner's introject inconstancy and refusal to own a split, all-bad introject. Thus, the devalued, split, all-bad introject remains as an internal object, in narcissist's mind. This creates anxiety and dissonance (owing to the internalization-introjection of a bad object which represents the partner);

7. The only way to reintegrate this internal object and reduce anxiety is by re-idealizing an external object (the original external object or a substitute) and the corresponding introject. This is impossible to accomplish if the narcissist has been mortified. He then departs from his previous version and reinvents himself which allows for self-idealization and self-supply (grandiosity restored).

The narcissist always prefers false self to you and always ends up sacrificing you to the false self. Why is that?

The narcissist's false self is a primitive savage parental deity that demands and expects human sacrifice, starting with the narcissist's true self.

Narcissism is, therefore, a private missionary religion: the narcissist attempts to convert others into his/her creed and then sacrifice them to the insatiable, voracious shared fantasy around the false self.

The false self is a parental figure, it is how a child views his/her parents: godlike, infallible, omnipotent, omniscient. At the beginning of the shared fantasy, the narcissist convert you into a maternal figure.

This creates competition (dissonance) between you and the false self (same happens in therapy). One of you has to go. Since the narcissist identifies him/herself with the false self (there is no real, constellated self), he sacrifices you.

This process is one of the main engines of the attempted reenactment of the failed early childhood separation-individuation which leads to devaluation and discard.

But having discarded you, the narcissist still remains stuck with your maternal introject (persecutory object) and with his parental false self. The dissonance is never resolved.

### **Intrapsychic Activation Model (IPAM)**

A scientifically rigorous psychology should start with the external environment: stimuli conveyed to the brain via sense and the ecosystem of information, 95% of which remains unconscious.

The internal environment is comprised of reactions to the external environment and interactions between processes such as cognitions and emotions.

The idea of an immutable core identity is, therefore, counterfactual: no fixed entity can efficaciously cope with a shapeshifting and ever-transforming reality.

Instead of a unitary lifespan-long Self, in the footsteps of the likes of Philip Bromberg, I propose an ensemble of self-states, each one of which is optimized for a specific environment.

The self-states are automatically triggered. The one best adapted to the exigencies and demands of an idiosyncratic milieu becomes dominant while the others are rendered latent and dormant.

The self-states are not dissociated. They share resources and assets such as the individual's intelligence and memories.

Some autonomous or continuous background mental processes might conflict with the self-state. To avoid dissonance and the ensuing anxiety, they are silenced with the aid of constructs. Each construct is unique to a specified self-state.

The constructs are stable organizing and hermeneutic-exegetic (interpretative) principles. Constructs mediate, structure, and filter external reality (experience) by reframing it while also regulating the internal environment.

Constructs make sense and impose a meaningful narrative on raw sensa as well as on internal data. They are like theories: they yield predictions. But all the output is censored to conform to the self-state (cognitively and emotionally distorted).

The ego and the persona are instances of constructs.

Like defense mechanisms, constructs impair reality testing in order to buttress the self-state and maintain its coherence and cohesion. Like membranes, constructs selectively suppress any input that challenges the self-state or undermines it.

Constructs, therefore, ensure ego-congruency and ego-syntony by generating a database of information that is both relevant to the self-state and supportive of it.

Constructs also tackle memories that vitiate or contradict the self-state and thus engender dissonance and anxiety.

The construct either silences such memories or reframes them into compatibility with the self-state.

It accomplishes this feat in one of three ways: 1. By dissociating the memories; 2. By altering the emotional content and correlates of the memory to conform to that of the self-state; and 3. By weighing memories differently and selectively (selective memory).

To accomplish the reconciliation of the self-state to both the external and the internal environments, the constructs call upon (interpellate) introjects (internalized voices of meaningful others, such as parents, teachers, peers, and society at large). The conscience is an example of a cluster of introjects that is often made use of by constructs.

In order to avoid dissonance and anxiety, we make peace with our introjects by misidentifying them as our own authentic voices (attribution error).

The introjects generate automatic thoughts, both positive and negative. They are always on standby. They interfere with daily functioning once they are triggered.

The constructs organize the introjects's output according to a set of selection criteria and principles ("identity").

"Identity" is an algorithm which maps self-states and their attendant constructs to specific environments. It determines which introjects are activated. It is a set of principles and operating routines which regulate the emergence and submergence of self-states.

Identity changes only glacially and so gives the illusory impression of stability and continuity.

The "personality" is comprised of the selection criteria (aforementioned algorithm) combined with the resultant self-states.

The individual is cathected (emotionally invested) in the self-state. S/he wants to validate it and thus preserve the comfort zone.

One of the functions of the automatic thoughts is to drown out the processes which negate the self-state or conflict with it. The other function is to affect and modify behaviors.

Some behaviors are inhibited or negatively reinforced by the construct, using the automatic thoughts spewed out by the introjects. Other actions are positively reinforced.

This way, the construct induces or fosters only behaviors whose consequences modify the environment to fit the self-state even as it suppresses all other forms of conduct.

The construct is goal-oriented. The choice of behaviors is secondary and rationalized. Self-efficacy is the overriding aim. The construct leverages external inputs to regulate the internal landscape.

The construct creates a paracosm, a virtual reality to fit and uphold the self-state. It is a harmonizing central authority.

This model sheds new light on basic concepts in psychology.

**“Defense mechanisms”** render palatable the outcomes of positively reinforced behaviors and prevent secondary anxiety, shame, and guilt.

**“Mental illness”** occurs when the self-states are mutually exclusive or oppositional or incompatible and the transition from one self-state to another is disrupted for a variety of reasons (mainly when the self-state is suboptimal).

The principle of non-contradiction in the repertory of self-states and the smooth transmission of power between self-states are the bedrocks of mental health. Conflicting self-states coupled with constructs compete for resources in a host of mental health disorders.

With every new environment, the algorithm selects an optimal self-state which takes over the individual. There is a momentary disorientation in the dissociative gaps between consecutive self-states.

In other words: the continuity of memory, identity, and personality is a myth or, at best, a convenient and idealized abstraction.

Self-states are anxiolytic and therapy is anxiogenic. But gradually, therapy helps the client evolve a new algorithm which selects for self-states which are less self-defeating or self-destructive and more functional.

**Constructs, introjects, memories, defenses.**

## **References and Bibliography**

### **Dissociation and Trauma**

1. Paul F. Dell and John A. O'Neill (eds.). Dissociation and the Dissociative Disorders: DSM-V and Beyond, Routledge, 2009
2. Martin J. Dorahy, Steven N. Gold, John A. O'Neil (eds.), Dissociation and the Dissociative Disorders: Past, Present, Future, Routledge, 2022
3. Candace Orcutt, PhD, Trauma in Personality Disorder: A Clinician's Handbook the Masterson Approach, AuthorHouse, 2012
4. Daniel J. Fox, PhD, Complex Borderline Personality Disorder: How Coexisting Conditions Affect Your BPD and How You Can Gain Emotional Balance, New Harbinger Publications, 2022
5. David Hosider, MSc, Childhood Trauma And Its Link To Borderline Personality Disorder,

### **Personality Disorders**

1. [Stormberg D, Roningstam E, Gunderson J, Tohen M. Pathological Narcissism in Bipolar Disorder Patients. Journal of Personality Disorders.1998;12:179-185.](#)
2. [Roningstam E. Pathological Narcissism and Narcissistic Personality Disorder in Axis I Disorders. Harvard Review of Psychiatry.1996;3\(6\):326-340.](#)
3. [Alford, Fred C. Narcissism: Socrates, the Frankfurt School and Psychoanalytic Theory - New Haven and London, Yale University Press.1988.](#)
4. [Fairbairn W. R. D. An Object Relations Theory of the Personality .1954.](#)
5. [Freud S. Three Essays on the Theory of Sexuality . Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works of Sigmund Freud.1905;7.](#)
6. [Freud S. On Narcissism.14:73-107.](#)

7. [Golomb E. Trapped in the Mirror : Adult Children of Narcissists in Their Struggle for Self - Quill.1995.](#)
8. [Greenberg JR, Mitchell SA. Object Relations in Psychoanalytic Theory .1983](#)  
[.](#)
9. [The Borderline Patient \(Psychoanalytic Inquiry Book Series\) by James S. Grotstein \(Editor\), Marion F. Solomon \(Editor\), Joan A. Lang \(Editor\), Routledge, 2015](#)
10. [Grunberger B. Narcissism: Psychoanalytic Essays . New York, International Universities Press .1979 .](#)
11. [Guntrip H . Personality Structure and Human Interaction. New York, International Universities Press.1961.](#)
12. [Horowitz MJ. Sliding Meanings: A defense against threat in narcissistic personalities - International Journal of Psychoanalytic Psychotherapy.1975,Feb;4:167-180.](#)
13. [Jacobson E. The Self and the Object World. New York, International Universities Press.1964 .](#)
14. [Kernberg O. Borderline Conditions and Pathological Narcissism. New York, Jason Aronson. 1975 .](#)
15. [Trust MK, The Writings of Melanie Klein - Ed. Roger Money-Kyrle - 4 vols. - New York, Free Press.1964-75.](#)
16. [Kohut H. The Analysis of the Self - New York, International Universities Press,1971.](#)
17. Lasch C. The Culture of Narcissism. New York, Warner Books,1979.
18. Alexander L, Narcissism : Denial of the True Self - Touchstone Books, 1997  
[.](#)

19. Millon T, Davis RD. Disorders of Personality: DSM IV and Beyond ,2nd ed. John Wiley and Sons, 1995.
20. [Millon T. Personality Disorders in Modern Life - New York: John Wiley and Sons. 2000.,Aug;188\(8\):558.](#)
21. [Ronningstam E. Disorders of Narcissism: Diagnostic, Clinical, and Empirical Implications . American Psychiatric Press.1998.](#)
22. [Ronningstam E. Pathological Narcissism and Narcissistic Personality Disorder in Axis I Disorders. Harvard Review of Psychiatry,1996,Apr;3\(6\): 326-340.](#)
23. Rothstein A. The Narcissistic Pursuit of Reflection .International Universities Press.1984.
24. [The Empty Core: An Object Relations Approach to Psychotherapy of the Schizoid Personality Hardcover – June 1, 1991 by Jeffrey Seinfeld, Jason Aronson, Inc. 1991](#)
25. [Lester S. Narcissistic Personality Disorders - A Clinical Discussion. Journal of Am. Psychoanalytic Association.1974,Apr;22\(2\):292-306.](#)
26. [Daniel Stern. The Interpersonal World of the Infant. A View from Psychoanalysis and Developmental Psychology.1985.](#)
27. [David S, Ronningstam E, Gunderson J, Tohen M. Pathological Narcissism in Bipolar Disorder Patients. Journal of Personality Disorders. 1998;12\(2\):179-185.](#)
28. [Vaknin S Malignant Self Love – Narcissism Revisited, 10th revised impression – Skopje and Prague. Narcissus Publications.2015.](#)
29. Zweig P. The Heresy of Self-Love: A Study of Subversive Individualism.1968.
30. Ogas, O., Gaddam S. A Billion Wicked Thoughts New York, Dutton 2011
31. Helm, K. Hooking Up: The Psychology of Sex and Dating Santa Barbara, Greenwood 2016

## ONLINE BIBLIOGRAPHY



**A lecture in McGill University about this standards model:**

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wP-qtcDcCGA>

[Return](#)